

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ussto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,609	11/20/2003	Donald Scott Johnson	130936-1	3109	
6147 7	590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER		
GENERAL E	LECTRIC COMPAN	1Y	TRUONG, DUC		
GLOBAL RES	EARCH				
	KET RM. BLDG. K1-	4A59	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NISKAYUNA	, NY 12309		1711		
		•			

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ŲF.		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/719,609	JOHNSON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Duc Truong	1711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Au	uaust 2005.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		action is non-final.				
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,4-7,11-17,19 and 20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-7,11-17 and 19-20 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
, —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
/—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

The rejection made under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph, is hereby withdrawn in view of amendment to claim 1.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/11/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Amendment submitted by Applicant does not overcome the rejection made by examiner in the last office action.

Claims 1, 4-7, 11-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cotter reference (4,819,419).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cotter in view of Fukuyama.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons as stated in the last office action and for the following reasons:

Applicant's arguments are based on the claimed composition in that the reference does not disclose the claimed polyethersulfone composition comprising structural units derived from a monomer mixture comprising bisphenol A and 4,4'-biphenol present in a range of from about 70 mole% to about 80 mole% based on total moles of diphenolic monomers, wherein the polyethersulfone has a min. Mw of 54,000.

Note that the Cotter reference does disclose the polyarylether sulfones by reacting a dihydric phenol with 4,4'-dichlorodiphenyl sulfone (see Abstract and at col. 3, lines 19-26) in that the dihydric phenol is a mixture of 4,4'-biphenol and bisphenol A, containing from about 50-90 mole of 4,4'-biphenol (see col. 3, lines 55-57), overlapped with those in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,609

Art Unit: 1711

Further the reference does disclose in Table 2, the molar ratios of biphenol and bisphenol A can be varied as desired. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the molar ratios of biphenol/bisphenol A from the reference within the limitation of the instant claims since they have been shown to be effective in a similar system and thus would have been expected to provide adequate results, such as the claimed minimum weight average molecular weight. There is no showing of unexpected results derived from said selection.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 is indefinite in that the repeating units t, s and u is not defined.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1711

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER